



# The Kansas Coalition for Life

5575 South Mosley Street, Wichita, Kansas 67216-3631

Voice (316) 522-8866

FAX (316) 522-8833

[www.kcfl.net](http://www.kcfl.net)

August 29, 2008



## News Release

For Immediate Release

Contact: Mark S. Gietzen

# District Attorney Will NOT Charge Abortionist Tiller in 2006 Vehicular Assault

By Tracey Springhorn

The Sedgwick County District Attorney's Office announced on August 27, 2008 that there would NOT be any charges, filed against abortionist George Tiller, regarding the April 5, 2006 vehicular assault against Mark Gietzen.



**Sedgwick County District Attorney Nola Foulston, and her close personal friend, Abortionist George Tiller.**

Tiller's malicious vehicular assault against Gietzen took place on the evening of April 5<sup>th</sup> while Gietzen and Randy Blasi, a KCFL volunteer, were standing at the edge of Tillers driveway, on the east gutter of Bleckley street.

The Wichita Police were able to seize the surveillance video showing that Tiller intentionally drove his vehicle into Gietzen. With such compelling evidence, one can only assume that the friendship between Tiller and Foulston is stronger than the oath she took to seek justice.

The District Attorney's Office gave no logical explanation for their decision. However, Assistant District Attorney, Kim Parker, told Mark that she had no way of knowing whether Tiller hit him, or whether he hit Tiller's vehicle.

This is a disingenuous concern, because the series of images taken by Tiller's security cameras, show that Gietzen and Blasi were stationary and not in the normal path of traffic leaving Tillers clinic.

In spite of what the images show, Kim was unmoved, and maintained that Tiller would not be charged



**Gietzen and Blasi in the approximate position that they were in, when Tiller excessively accelerated his Jeep Grand Cherokee and aimed it directly at them, braking only at the last minute, hitting Mark, and nearly hitting the Truth-truck. Tiller never stopped.**

There is a lot more to this story; but to understand it all requires some tedious reading.

Tiller was angry with Mark and Randy, because on the day prior to the assault Randy was kneeling in prayer on the one-square-foot of concrete gutter that Mark is pointing to in the photo above.

On that day, April 4, 2006, one of Tiller's employees came out, and harassed Randy. She drove down the center of the driveway, (*where no one normally drives, because they must turn either left or right when exiting*), and stopped a foot or two in front of Randy. Randy was in a kneeling position, praying. She then repeatedly blew her car-horn, in long rude bursts. After awhile, seeing that Randy would not budge, she backed up, put her car back in its parking stall, and walked back inside.

Other background items that made Tiller so mad that he "snapped" are:

(1) Tiller had lost all of his legal attempts to get rid of the Crosses that are set every day at his facility. The First Amendment Rights prevailed!

(2) Tiller failed in his attempt to prevent KCFL from holding the overhead banner. (*See homepage top photo, [www.kcfl.net](http://www.kcfl.net)*). And,

(3) Tiller lost the battle over sidewalk chalk, i.e. KCFL had a letter from the City Law Department, ready and waiting, when Tiller's security guard called the police the first time that sidewalk chalk was used.

The point is that Tiller was in no mood to yield this one-foot-square of Bleckley Street gutter, for KCFL to kneel and pray. He had enough! His patience had run out!

Nevertheless, if it had been Gietzen, who intentionally hit Tiller with a motor vehicle, (*and in the Small Claims Court hearing Tiller did not deny that he intentionally hit*

*Mark*), Gietzen would undoubtedly be sitting in jail today, serving time on an attempted murder charge.

For additional background information and more photos, see some of the details in the failed Small Claims Case on this matter:

<http://www.kcfl.net/kcfl/doc/newsr/07SC1015.pdf>

For reference, the original Police Report is filed under Case Number: 06C024737.

The Small Claims Court case was dismissed due to the statute-of-limitations being one-year, and not two years as Mark had been advised. However, that limitation only applies to the civil damage claim, and not to the criminal aspects of this case.

The timing of this announcement, at the height of the Democrat National Convention, may have been designed to minimize media attention, or it could have been just a coincidence.



***The citizens of Wichita are becoming increasingly aware that the Sedgwick County District Attorney's Office has two sets of standards; one set for friends with deep pockets, and another set of standards for everybody else.***